arXiv:1401.7979v1 [math.NT] 30 Jan 2014

ON THE INDEX-CONJECTURE ON LENGTH FOUR MINIMAL ZERO-SUM SEQUENCES

LI-MENG XIA

Faculty of Science, Jiangsu University
Zhenjiang, 212013, Jiangsu Province, P.R. China

ABSTRACT. Let G be a finite cyclic group. Every sequence S over G can be written in the form $S = (n_1g) \cdot ... \cdot (n_lg)$ where $g \in G$ and $n_1, \cdots, n_l \in [1, \mathrm{ord}(g)]$, and the index $\mathrm{ind}(S)$ of S is defined to be the minimum of $(n_1 + \cdots + n_l)/\mathrm{ord}(g)$ over all possible $g \in G$ such that $\langle g \rangle = G$. A conjecture says that if G is finite such that $\gcd(|G|, 6) = 1$, then $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 1$ for every minimal zero-sum sequence S. In this paper, we prove that the conjecture holds if S is reduced and at least one n_i coprime to $|G|$.

Key Words: cyclic group, minimal zero-sum sequence, index of sequences, reduced.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11B30, 11B50, 20K01

1. Introduction

Throughout the paper, let G be an additively written finite cyclic group of order $|G| = n$. By a sequence over G we mean a finite sequence of terms from G which is unordered and repetition of terms is allowed. We view sequences over G as elements of the free abelian monoid $\mathcal{F}(G)$ and use multiplicative notation. Thus a sequence S of length $|S| = k$ is written in the form $S = (n_1g) \cdot ... \cdot (n_kg)$, where $n_1, \cdots, n_k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $g \in G$. We call S a *zero-sum sequence* if $\sum_{j=1}^{k} n_jg = 0$. If S is a zero-sum sequence, but no proper nontrivial subsequence of S has sum zero, then S is called a *minimal zero-sum sequence*. Recall that the index of a sequence S over G is defined as follows.

Definition 1.1. *For a sequence over G*

$$S = (n_1g) \cdot ... \cdot (n_kg), \qquad \textit{where } 1 \le n_1, \cdots, n_k \le n,$$

the index of S is define by $\mathrm{ind}(S) = \min\{\|S\|_g | g \in G \textit{ with } \langle g \rangle = G\}$, *where*

$$\|S\|_g = \frac{n_1 + \cdots + n_k}{\mathrm{ord}(g)}.$$

Clearly, S has sum zero if and only if $\mathrm{ind}(S)$ is an integer.

Conjecture 1.2. *Let G be a finite cyclic group such that* $\gcd(|G|, 6) = 1$. *Then every minimal zero-sum sequence S over G of length $|S| = 4$ has* $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 1$.

The index of a sequence is a crucial invariant in the investigation of (minimal) zero-sum sequences (resp. of zero-sum free sequences) over cyclic groups. It was first addressed by Kleitman-Lemke (in the conjecture [9, page 344]), then used as a key tool by Geroldinger ([6, page736]), and

the author's email: xialimeng@ujs.edu.cn.

Supported by the NNSF of China (Grant No. 11001110, 11271131).

investigated by Gao [3] in a systematical way. Since then it has received a great deal of attention (see for example [1, 2, 4, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18]). A main focus of the investigation of index is to determine minimal zero-sum sequences of index 1. If S is a minimal zero-sum sequence of length $|S|$ such that $|S| \leq 3$ or $|S| \geq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 2$, then $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 1$ (see [1, 14, 16]). In contrast to that, it was shown that for each k with $5 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$, there is a minimal zero-sum subsequence T of length $|T| = k$ with $\mathrm{ind}(T) \geq 2$ ([13, 15]) and that the same is true for $k = 4$ and $\gcd(n, 6) \neq 1$ ([13]). The left case leads to the above conjecture.

In [12], it was prove that Conjecture 1.2 holds true if n is a prime power. In [11], it was prove that Conjecture 1.2 holds for $n = p_1^{\alpha} \cdot p_2^{\beta}, (p_1 \neq p_2)$ and if the sequence contains an element g of order $\mathrm{ord}(g) = n$. However, the general case is still open.

Definition 1.3. *Let $S = (n_1 g) \cdot \ldots \cdot (n_k g)$ be a minimal zero-sum sequence over G. Then S is called reduced if $(pn_1 g) \cdot \ldots \cdot (pn_k g)$ is not a minimal zero-sum sequence for any prime factor p of n.*

In this paper, our main result is stated by the following theorem.

Theorem 1.4. *Let G be a finite cyclic group such that $\gcd(|G|, 6) = 1$, $S = (n_1 g) \cdot \ldots \cdot (n_k g)$ be a minimal zero-sum sequence over G with $\mathrm{ord}(g) = |G|$. If S is reduced and at least one n_i coprime to n, then $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 1$*

It was mentioned in [13] that Conjecture 1.2 was confirmed computationally if $n \leq 1000$. Hence, throughout the paper, we always assume that $n > 1000$.

2. Induction on prime decomposition of n

Throughout, let G be a cyclic group of order $|G| = n > 1000$. Given real numbers $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, we use $[a, b] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} | a \leq x \leq b\}$ to denote the set of integers between a and b. For $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote by $|x|_n \in [1, n]$ the integer congruent to x modulo n. Suppose that n has a prime decomposition $n = p_1^{\mu_1} \cdots p_d^{\mu_d}$. Let $S = (x_1 g) \cdot \ldots \cdot (x_k g)$ be a minimal zero-sum sequence over G such that $\mathrm{ord}(g) = n = |G|$ and $1 \leq x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \leq n - 1$. Then $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 = \nu n$, where $1 \leq \nu \leq 3$.

For convenience, we use the following symbols:

$$\mathcal{T} = \{p_1, \cdots, p_d\}, \qquad \mathcal{T}_i = \{p \in \mathcal{T} | p = \gcd(p, x_i)\},\ i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

Theorem 2.1. *If S is reduced and $\gcd(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, n) = 1$, then $|\mathcal{T}| \leq 3$. Particularly, if $|\mathcal{T}| = 3$, then after renumbering if necessary one of the following statements holds:*

(A1) $\{\gcd(x_i, n) | i = 1, 2, 3, 4\} = \{p_1 p_2, p_2, p_1 p_3, p_3\}$.

(A2) $\{\gcd(x_i, n) | i = 1, 2, 3, 4\} = \{1, p_1, p_2, p_1 p_2\}$.

(A3) $\gcd(x_i, n) = 1$ *for* $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

(A4) $\gcd(x_1, n) = 1, \gcd(x_2, n) = p_1 p_2, \gcd(x_3, n) = p_1 p_3, \gcd(x_4, n) = p_2 p_3$.

For the proof of this theorem, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose that $|\mathcal{T}| \geq 3$, $p \in \mathcal{T}$ and $1 \leq |p x_i|_n \leq n - 1$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. If for any $q \in \mathcal{T}$, $(q x_1 g) \cdot (q x_2 g) \cdot (q x_3 g) \cdot (q x_4 g)$ is not a minimal zero-sum sequence, then $n = p_1 p_2 p_3$ and one of (A1), (A2), (A3) holds.*

Particularly, we can assume that $x_1 = 1, \{\gcd(n, x_2), \gcd(n, x_3), \gcd(n, x_4)\} = \{p_1, p_2, p_1p_2\}$ *for (A2), and* $x_1 = 1, p_1p_2|(x_2 + 1), p_1p_3|(x_3 + 1), p_2p_3|(x_4 + 1)$ *for (A3).*

Proof. Since $(px_1g) \cdot (px_2g) \cdot (px_3g) \cdot (px_4g)$ is not a minimal zero-sum sequence, without loss of generality, we can assume that $|px_1|_n + |px_2|_n = n$ and $|px_3|_n + |px_4|_n = n$. We distinguish four cases.

Case 1. $p \in \mathcal{T}_1 \cap \mathcal{T}_2$.

For any $q \in \mathcal{T}_3$, $(qx_1g) \cdot (qx_2g) \cdot (qx_3g) \cdot (qx_4g)$ is a minimal zero-sum sequence, hence $\mathcal{T}_3 = \emptyset$.

If $|\mathcal{T}_1| > 2$, then there is $q \in \mathcal{T}_1$ such that $(qx_1g) \cdot (qx_2g) \cdot (qx_3g) \cdot (qx_4g)$ is a minimal zero-sum sequence, contradiction..

If $|\mathcal{T}_1| = 2 < |\mathcal{T}|$, then there is $q \in \mathcal{T}_1$ such that $(qx_1g) \cdot (qx_2g) \cdot (qx_3g) \cdot (qx_4g)$ is a minimal zero-sum sequence, contradiction.

If $|\mathcal{T}_1| = 1, |\mathcal{T}| > 2$, then there is $q \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $(qx_1g) \cdot (qx_2g) \cdot (qx_3g) \cdot (qx_4g)$ is a minimal zero-sum sequence, contradiction.

Case 2. $p \in \mathcal{T}_1 \cap \mathcal{T}_3$.

We must have $\gcd(x_2, n)|\gcd(x_1, n)$ and $\gcd(x_4, n)|\gcd(x_3, n)$. If $|\mathcal{T}| \geq |\mathcal{T}_2 \cup \mathcal{T}_3| + 2$, then for $q \in \mathcal{T} \setminus (\mathcal{T}_2 \cup \mathcal{T}_3)$, $(qx_1g) \cdot (qx_2g) \cdot (qx_3g) \cdot (qx_4g)$ is a minimal zero-sum sequence. Since $|\mathcal{T}| \geq 3$, we have $\mathcal{T}_2 \cup \mathcal{T}_4 \neq \emptyset$.

If $|\mathcal{T}_2 \cup \mathcal{T}_4| \geq 3$, then there is $q \in \mathcal{T}_2 \cup \mathcal{T}_4$ such that $(qx_1g) \cdot (qx_2g) \cdot (qx_3g) \cdot (qx_4g)$ is a minimal zero-sum sequence.

If $|\mathcal{T}| = |\mathcal{T}_2 \cup \mathcal{T}_3| + 1$ and $|\mathcal{T}_2 \cup \mathcal{T}_4| = 2$, then for $q \in \mathcal{T}_2 \cup \mathcal{T}_4$, $(qx_1g) \cdot (qx_2g) \cdot (qx_3g) \cdot (qx_4g)$ is a minimal zero-sum sequence.

If $|\mathcal{T}| = |\mathcal{T}_2 \cup \mathcal{T}_3|$ and $|\mathcal{T}_2| = 2$, then there is $q \in \mathcal{T}_2$ such that $(qx_1g) \cdot (qx_2g) \cdot (qx_3g) \cdot (qx_4g)$ is a minimal zero-sum sequence.

If $|\mathcal{T}| = |\mathcal{T}_2 \cup \mathcal{T}_3|$ and $|\mathcal{T}_2| = |\mathcal{T}_4| = 1$, then we can assume that $\mathcal{T}_1 = \{p_1, p_2\}, \mathcal{T}_2 = \{p_2\}, \mathcal{T}_3 = \{p_1, p_3\}, \mathcal{T}_4 = \{p_3\}$. $|p_1x_1|_n + |p_1x_2|_n = n$ implies that $\mu_1 = 1$. Since $(p_2x_1g) \cdot (p_2x_2g) \cdot (p_2x_3g) \cdot (p_2x_4g)$ is not a minimal zero-sum sequence, we can get $\mu_2 = 1$. Similarly, $\mu_3 = 1$.

Besides all of above, we can assume $\mathcal{T} = \{p_1, p_2, p_3\}, \mathcal{T}_1 = \{p_1, p_2\}, \mathcal{T}_2 = \{p_1\}, \mathcal{T}_3 = \{p_2\}, \mathcal{T}_4 = \emptyset$. Moreover, $p_2^{\mu_2}|(p_2x_1 + p_2x_2)$ implies $\mu_2 = 1$. Similarly, $p_1 = 1$. If $\mu_3 > 1$, then it is easy to check that $(p_3x_1g) \cdot (p_3x_2g) \cdot (p_3x_3g) \cdot (p_3x_4g)$ is a minimal zero-sum sequence, contradiction. Hence $\mu_3 = 1$ and $n = p_1p_2p_3$.

Case 3. $p \in \mathcal{T}_1, p \notin \cap_{i=2}^4 \mathcal{T}_i$.

We must have $\gcd(x_2, n)|\gcd(x_1, n)$ and $\gcd(x_3, n) = \gcd(x_4, n)$.

If $\mathcal{T} \neq \mathcal{T}_1 \cup \mathcal{T}_3$ or $|\mathcal{T}_3| \geq 2$, then for any $q \in \mathcal{T}_3$, $(qx_1g) \cdot (qx_2g) \cdot (qx_3g) \cdot (qx_4g)$ is a minimal zero-sum sequence.

Let $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_1 \cup \mathcal{T}_3$ If $|\mathcal{T}_3| = 1$. For any $q \in \mathcal{T}_2$, $(qx_1g) \cdot (qx_2g) \cdot (qx_3g) \cdot (qx_4g)$ is a minimal zero-sum sequence.

If $|\mathcal{T}_2| \geq 2$, then there is $q \in \mathcal{T}_2$, such that $(qx_1g) \cdot (qx_2g) \cdot (qx_3g) \cdot (qx_4g)$ is a minimal zero-sum sequence.

Case 4. $p \notin \cup_{i=1}^{4}\mathcal{T}_i$.

We must have $\gcd(x_1,n) = \gcd(x_2,n)$ and $\gcd(x_3,n) = \gcd(x_4,n)$. For any $q \in \mathcal{T}_1$, it holds that $1 \le |qx_i|_n \le n-1$ for $i = 1,2,3,4$. If $\mathcal{T}_3$ is not empty, then $|qx_1|_n + |qx_3|_n = n$ or $|qx_2|_n + |qx_3|_n = n$. However, there is $q' \in \mathcal{T}_3$ such that $q' \nmid qx_1, q' \nmid qx_2, q' \mid qx_3$, it is a contradiction. Repeat some similar discussions, we infer that $|\mathcal{T}_1| + |\mathcal{T}_3| \le 1$.

If $\mathcal{T}_1 = \{q\}$, then there is $p' \in \mathcal{T} \setminus \mathcal{T}_1$. Clearly, $1 \le |p'x_i|_n \le n-1$ for $i = 1,2,3,4$. Then $|p'x_1|_n + |p'x_3|_n = n$ or $|p'x_1|_n + |p'x_4|_n = n$. However, we have $q \mid p'x_1, q \nmid p'x_3, q \nmid p'x_4$, it is a contradiction.

If $\mathcal{T}_1 = \mathcal{T}_3 = \emptyset$. Then there exist $p_1,p_2,p_3 \in \mathcal{T}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\gcd(x_1+x_2,n) &= \frac{n}{p_1} = \gcd(x_3+x_4,n),\\ \gcd(x_1+x_3,n) &= \frac{n}{p_2} = \gcd(x_2+x_4,n),\\ \gcd(x_1+x_4,n) &= \frac{n}{p_3} = \gcd(x_2+x_3,n).\end{aligned}$$

For any $q \in \mathcal{T} \setminus \{p_1,p_2,p_3\}$, $(qx_1g)\cdot(qx_2g)\cdot(qx_3g)\cdot(qx_4g)$ must be a minimal zero-sum sequence, hence $\mathcal{T} = \{p_1,p_2,p_3\}$.

If $\mu_1 > 1$, then $p_1|(x_1+x_2), p_1|(x_1+x_3), p_1|(x_2+x_3)$, we infer that $p_1|\gcd(x_1,x_2,x_3)$, contradiction. So $\mu_1 = 1$. Similarly, $\mu_2 = \mu_3 = 1$. □

Now we can finish the proof of Theorem 2.1 via the following discussion:

(1) If $\cup_{i=1}^{4}\mathcal{T}_i \neq \mathcal{T}$, then for any $p \in \cup_{i=1}^{4}\mathcal{T}_i$, we have $1 \le |px_i|_n \le n-1$ for $i = 1,2,3,4$.

(2) If $\cup_{i=1}^{4}\mathcal{T}_i$ is empty and $d \ge 2$, then for any $p \in \mathcal{T}$, we have $1 \le |px_i|_n \le n-1$ for $i = 1,2,3,4$.

For the above two cases, by Lemma 2.2, we can assume that $\cup_{i=1}^{4}\mathcal{T}_i = \mathcal{T}$ and $d \ge 3$. Without lace of generality, we let x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4 be such that $|\mathcal{T}_1| \le |\mathcal{T}_2| \le |\mathcal{T}_3| \le |\mathcal{T}_4|$.

(3) If $|\mathcal{T}_3| \le \frac{d}{2}$ and $\mathcal{T}_4 < d$, then for any $p \in \mathcal{T}_4$, we have $1 \le |px_i|_n \le n-1$ for $i = 1,2,3,4$.

(4) If $|\mathcal{T}_3| \le \frac{d}{2}$ and $\mathcal{T}_4 = d$. There must be an index $1 \le k \le d$ such that $p_k^{\mu_k} \nmid x_4$. Then for any $j \neq k$, we have $|p_jx_i|_n \le n-1$ for $i = 1,2,3,4$.

Now we can assume that $|\mathcal{T}_3| > \frac{d}{2}$, then $\mathcal{T}_3 \cap \mathcal{T}_4$ is nonempty(since $|\mathcal{T}_3| + |\mathcal{T}_4| \ge 2|\mathcal{T}_3| > d = |\mathcal{T}|$).

(5) If $|\mathcal{T}_3 \cap \mathcal{T}_4| \ge 3$, there is $p \in \mathcal{T}_3 \cap \mathcal{T}_4$ such that $1 \le |px_i|_n \le n-1$ for $i = 1,2,3,4$.

Clearly, there is $p \in \mathcal{T}_3 \cap \mathcal{T}_4$ such that $1 \le |px_3|_n \le n-1, 1 \le |px_4|_n \le n-1$. If $n|px_2$, then for any $q(\neq p) \in \mathcal{T}_3 \cap \mathcal{T}_4$, we have $q|x_2$, and then $q|x_1$, which contradicts to $\gcd(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4,n) = 1$. Hence $1 \le |px_2|_n \le n-1$. Similarly, $1 \le |px_1|_n \le n-1$.

(6) If $|\mathcal{T}_3 \cap \mathcal{T}_4| = 2$ and there is $p_k \in \mathcal{T}_3 \cap \mathcal{T}_4$ such that $\mu_k \ge 2$, $p_k^{\mu_k} \mid \gcd(x_3,x_4)$, then we have $1 \le |p_kx_i|_n \le n-1$ for $i = 1,2,3,4$.

It can be shown by similar argument in (5).

(7) If $\mathcal{T}_3 \cap \mathcal{T}_4 = \{p_k,p_l\}$ and $p_k^{\mu_k} \nmid x_3$, $p_l^{\mu_l} \nmid x_4$, then for any $j \neq k,l$, we have $1 \le |p_jx_i|_n \le n-1$ for $i = 1,2,3,4$.

(8) If $\mathcal{T}_3 \cap \mathcal{T}_4 = \{p_k, p_l\}$ and $p_k^{\mu_k} \nmid x_3$, $p_l^{\mu_l} \nmid x_3$, $p_k^{\mu_k} \mid x_4$, $p_l^{\mu_l} \mid x_4$, then we have $1 \le |p_k x_i|_n \le n-1$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

From (5),(6),(7),(8), we can assume that $|\mathcal{T}_3 \cap \mathcal{T}_4| = 1$, then d is odd, otherwise $|\mathcal{T}_4| \ge |\mathcal{T}_3| \ge \frac{d}{2} + 1$, it implies that $|\mathcal{T}_3 \cap \mathcal{T}_4| \ge |\mathcal{T}_3| + |\mathcal{T}_4| - d \ge 2$, contradiction. Hence $|\mathcal{T}_3| = |\mathcal{T}_4| = \frac{d+1}{2}$. Without lack of generality, we let $\mathcal{T}_3 \cap \mathcal{T}_4 = \{p_d\}$.

(9) If $d > 3$, then $|\mathcal{T}_1| \le |\mathcal{T}_2| \le |\mathcal{T}_3| \le |\mathcal{T}_4| = \frac{d+1}{2} \le d - 2$, then $1 \le |p_d x_i|_n \le n - 1$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

(10) If $d = 3$ and $\mu_d > 1$, then $1 \le |p_d x_i|_n \le n - 1$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

(11) If $d = 3$ and $|\mathcal{T}_2| \le 1$, then $1 \le |p_d x_i|_n \le n - 1$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

(12) If $d = 3$ and $|\mathcal{T}_2| = 2$, then $\mathcal{T}_1$ is empty.

From all discussion above, we can assume that

$\mathcal{T}_1 = \emptyset, \mathcal{T}_2 = \{p_1, p_2\}, \mathcal{T}_3 = \{p_1, p_3\}, \mathcal{T}_4 = \{p_2, p_3\}$ and $\mu_3 = 1$. Since $|\mathcal{T}_2| = |\mathcal{T}_3| = |\mathcal{T}_4| = 2$, replace the positions of x_2, x_3 and repeat case (10), we can have $\mu_2 = 1$. Similarly, $\mu_1 = 1$. Hence we have $\gcd(x_1, n) = 1, \gcd(x_2, n) = p_1p_2, \gcd(x_3, n) = p_1p_3, \gcd(x_4, n) = p_2p_3$. Up to now, Theorem 2.1 is proved.

If S contains at least one x_i coprime to n, then $u = \gcd(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, n) = 1$. For $|\mathcal{T}| < 3$, Theorem 1.4 is proved by the results in [11] and [12]. Hence, in order to prove Theorem 1.4, it is sufficient to show the following Theorem 2.3:

Theorem 2.3. *Let $n = p_1p_2p_3$, where p_1, p_2, p_3 are three different primes, and $\gcd(n, 6) = 1$. Let $S = (x_1g) \cdot (x_2g) \cdot (x_3g) \cdot (x_4g)$ be a minimal zero-sum sequence over $G = \langle g \rangle$ such that $\mathrm{ord}(g) = n$, where (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) satisfies one of $(A2), (A3)$ and $(A4)$. Then $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 1$.*

Notice that, under each assumption of $(A2), (A3)$ and $(A4)$, we always assume that $(px_1g) \cdot (px_2g) \cdot (px_3g) \cdot (px_4g)$ is not a minimal zero-sum sequence for any $p \in \mathcal{T}$.

3. Preliminaries for Theorem 2.3

Let S be the sequence as described in Theorem 2.3. Similar to Remark 2.1 of [11], we may always assume that $x_1 = 1, 1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 = 2n$ and $1 < x_2 < \frac{n}{2} < x_3 \le x_4 < n - 1$. Let $c = x_2, b = n - x_3, a = n - x_4$, then it is easy to show that the following proposition implies Theorem 2.3 under assumption $(A2), (A3)$ or $(A4)$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $n = p_1p_2p_3$, where p_1, p_2, p_3 are three different primes, and $\gcd(n, 6) = 1$. Let $S = (g) \cdot (cg) \cdot ((n-b)g) \cdot ((n-a)g)$ be a minimal zero-sum sequence over G such that $\mathrm{ord}(g) = n$, where $1 + c = a + b$, and*

$(A2)$ $\{\gcd(c, n), \gcd(b, n), \gcd(a, n)\} = \{p_1, p_2, p_1p_2\}$.

$(A3)$ $\gcd(c + 1, n) = p_1p_2$, $\gcd(b - 1, n) = p_1p_3$, $\gcd(a - 1, n) = p_2p_3$.

$(A4)$ $\gcd(c, n) = p_1p_2$, $\gcd(b, n) = p_1p_3$, $\gcd(a, n) = p_2p_3$.

Then $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 1$.

Remark 3.2. *Notice that $\gcd(n, 6) = 1$, and $(p_ig) \cdot (|p_ic|_ng) \cdot (|p_i(n-b)|_ng) \cdot (|p_i(n-a)|_ng)$ is not a minimal zero-sum sequence, we infer that $a \ge 36$ under $(A3)$, $a \ge 35$ under $(A2)$ or $(A4)$.*

Lemma 3.3. *Proposition 3.1 holds if and only if one of the following conditions holds:*

(1) There exist positive integers k, m such that $\frac{kn}{c} \leq m \leq \frac{kn}{b}$, $\gcd(m,n)=1$, $1 \leq k \leq b$, and $ma < n$.

(2) There exists a positive integer $M \in [1, \frac{n}{2}]$ such that $\gcd(M,n)=1$ and at least two of the following inequalities hold:

$$|Ma|_n > \frac{n}{2}, |Mb|_n > \frac{n}{2}, |Mc|_n < \frac{n}{2}.$$

Lemma 3.4. *If there exist integers k and m such that $\frac{kn}{c} \leq m \leq \frac{kn}{b}$, $\gcd(m,n)=1$, $1 \leq k \leq b$, and $a \leq \frac{kn}{b}$, then Proposition 3.1 holds.*

From now on, we assume that $s = \lfloor \frac{b}{a} \rfloor$. Then we have $1 \leq s \leq \frac{b}{a} < s+1$. Since $b \leq \frac{n}{2}$, we have $\frac{n}{2b} = \frac{(2s-t)n}{2b} - \frac{(2s-t-1)n}{2b} > 1$, and then $[\frac{(2s-t-1)n}{2b}, \frac{(2s-t)n}{2b}]$ contains at least one integer for every $t \in [0, \cdots, s-1]$.

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose $s \geq 2$ and $[\frac{(2s-2t-1)n}{2b}, \frac{(s-t)n}{b}]$ contains an integer co-prime to n for some $t \in [0, \cdots, \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor - 1]$. Then Proposition 3.1 holds.*

For the proof of Lemma 3.3, Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.5, one is referred to the proof of Lemma 2.3-2.5 in [11], and we omit it here.

Let Ω denote the set of those integers: $x \in \Omega$ if and only if $x \in [\frac{(2s-t-1)n}{2b}, \frac{(s-t)n}{b}]$ for some $t \in [0, \cdots, \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor - 1]$. By Lemma 3.5, we also assume that

(B): $[\frac{(2s-2t-1)n}{2b}, \frac{(s-t)n}{b}]$ contains no integers co-prime to n for every $t \in [0, \cdots, \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor - 1]$.

Lemma 3.6. *If $s \geq 2$ and $[\frac{(2s-2t-1)n}{2b}, \frac{(s-t)n}{b}]$ contains no integers co-prime to n for every $t \in [0, \cdots, \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor - 1]$. Then $[\frac{(2s-t-1)n}{2b}, \frac{(s-t)n}{b}]$ contains at most 3 integers for every $t \in [0, \cdots, \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor - 1]$. Hence $\frac{n}{2b} < 4$.*

Proof. If there exists some $t \in [0, \cdots, \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor - 1]$ such that $[\frac{(2s-2t-1)n}{2b}, \frac{(s-t)n}{b}]$ contains at least 4 integers, hence $x, x+1, x+2, x+3 \in \Omega$ for some x. It is easy to know that at least one of the four integers is co-prime to n. Then this lemma holds. □

Lemma 3.7. *If $s \geq 4$ and $[\frac{(2s-2t-1)n}{2b}, \frac{(s-t)n}{b}]$ contains 3 integers for some $t \in [0, \cdots, \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor - 1]$. Then one of the following holds for some x:*

(c1) $x, x+1, x+2, x+7, x+8, x+9 \in \Omega$; (c2) $x, x+1, x+2, x+6, x+7, x+8 \in \Omega$;

(c3) $x, x+1, x+2, x+5, x+6, x+7 \in \Omega$; (c4) $x, x+1, x+2, x+6, x+7 \in \Omega$;

(c5) $x, x+1, x+5, x+6, x+7 \in \Omega$; (c6) $x, x+1, x+2, x+5, x+6 \in \Omega$;

(c7) $x, x+1, x+4, x+5, x+6 \in \Omega$.

Proof. Since $s \geq 4$, we can consider $t = 0, 1$ respectively. Because $[\frac{(2s-2t-1)n}{2b}, \frac{(s-t)n}{b}]$ contains exactly three integers for $t=0$ or $t=1$, we have $1 \leq \frac{n}{2b} < 3$, then (c1)-(c5) are all possible cases of the integers contained by $[\frac{(2s-2t-1)n}{2b}, \frac{(s-t)n}{b}]$ for some $t = 0, 1$. □

Lemma 3.8. *Suppose $s \geq 4$ and $[\frac{(2s-2t-1)n}{2b}, \frac{(s-t)n}{b}]$ contains no integers co-prime to n for every $t \in [0, \cdots, \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor - 1]$. Then $[\frac{(2s-2t-1)n}{2b}, \frac{(s-t)n}{b}]$ contains at most two integers for every $t \in [0, \cdots, \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor - 1]$ and $\frac{n}{2b} < 3$.*

Proof. If $[\frac{(2s-2t-1)n}{2b}, \frac{(s-t)n}{b}]$ contains 3 integers for some $t \in [0, \cdots, \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor - 1]$.

For (c1) case. Since $\gcd(x,n) > 1, \gcd(x+1,n) > 1, \gcd(x+2,n) > 1, \gcd(x+7,n) > 1, \gcd(x+8,n) > 1, \gcd(x+9,n) > 1$ and $\gcd(x,x+1,n) = \gcd(x,x+2,n) = \gcd(x+1,x+2,n) = 1$, we have $\gcd(x+2,x+7) = 5, \gcd(x+1,x+8) = 7$, then $\gcd(x,x+9) > 1, \gcd(x+1,x+9) = \gcd(x+2,x+9) = 1$, so we have $\gcd(x+9,n) = 1$, which contradicts to our assumption.

The proof for (c2)-(c7) is similar. Then this lemma holds. □

Lemma 3.9. *If $s \geq 6$ and $[\frac{(2s-2t-1)n}{2b}, \frac{(s-t)n}{b}]$ contains exactly two integers for every $t \in [0, \cdots, \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor - 1]$. Then one of the following holds for some x:*

(c8) $x, x+1, x+5, x+6, x+10, x+11 \in \Omega$;

(c9) $x, x+1, x+4, x+5, x+9, x+10 \in \Omega$;

(c10) $x, x+1, x+5, x+6, x+9, x+10 \in \Omega$;

(c11) $x, x+1, x+4, x+5, x+8, x+9 \in \Omega$;

(c12) $x, x+1, x+4, x+5, x+7, x+8 \in \Omega$;

(c13) $x, x+1, x+3, x+4, x+7, x+8 \in \Omega$;

(c14) $x, x+1, x+3, x+4, x+6, x+7 \in \Omega$.

Proof. Similar to the proof of Lemma 3.7. □

Lemma 3.10. *If $s \geq 6$, then there exist $t_1 \in \{0, \cdots, \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor - 1\}$ such that $[\frac{(2s-t_1-1)n}{2b}, \frac{(2s-t_1)n}{2b}]$ contains exactly one integer and $\frac{n}{2b} < 2$.*

Proof. Suppose that $[\frac{(2s-2t-1)n}{2b}, \frac{(s-t)n}{b}]$ contains exactly two integers for every $t \in [0, \cdots, \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor - 1]$.

Case (c8): if (c8) holds, then $\gcd(x, x+1, n) = \gcd(x+1, x+5, n) = \gcd(x, x+5, n) = 1$ or $\gcd(x+1, x+5, n) = \gcd(x+5, x+6, n) = \gcd(x+1, x+6, n) = 1$, $\gcd(x, x+5) = 5$.

If $\gcd(x, x+1, n) = \gcd(x+1, x+5, n) = \gcd(x, x+5, n) = 1$, then $\gcd(x, x+10) = \gcd(x+5, x+10) = 1$ and $\gcd(x+1, x+10) > 1$. However, $\gcd(x+1, x+10) \in \{1, 3, 9\}$, so $\gcd(x+10, n) = 1$, which contradicts to our assumption.

If $\gcd(x+1, x+5, n) = \gcd(x+5, x+6, n) = \gcd(x+1, x+6, n) = 1$, $\gcd(x, x+5) = 5$, then $\gcd(x+1, x+11) = \gcd(x+6, x+11) = 1$ and $\gcd(x+5, x+11) > 1$. However, since $\gcd(x+5, x+11) | 6$, we have $\gcd(x+11, n) = 1$, contradiction.

The proof for (c9-c14) is similar. Then this lemma holds. □

Lemma 3.11. *If $s \geq 8$ and there exists $t_2 \in \{0, \cdots, \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor - 1\}$ such that $[\frac{(2s-t_2-1)n}{2b}, \frac{(2s-t_2)n}{2b}]$ contains exactly two integers. Then one of the following holds for some x:*

(c15) $x, x+3, x+4, x+7, x+8, x+11, x+12 \in \Omega$; *(c16)* $x, x+1, x+4, x+7, x+8, x+11, x+12 \in \Omega$;

(c17) $x, x+1, x+4, x+5, x+8, x+11, x+12 \in \Omega$; *(c18)* $x, x+1, x+4, x+5, x+8, x+9, x+12 \in \Omega$;

(c19) $x, x+1, x+3, x+4, x+7, x+10, x+11 \in \Omega$; *(c20)* $x, x+1, x+3, x+4, x+7, x+8, x+11 \in \Omega$;

(c21) $x, x+3, x+4, x+6, x+7, x+10, x+11 \in \Omega$; *(c22)* $x, x+1, x+4, x+5, x+7, x+8, x+11 \in \Omega$;

(c23) $x, x+3, x+4, x+7, x+8, x+10, x+11 \in \Omega$; *(c24)* $x, x+1, x+4, x+7, x+8, x+10, x+11 \in \Omega$;

(c25) $x, x+1, x+3, x+4, x+6, x+7, x+10 \in \Omega$; *(c26)* $x, x+3, x+4, x+6, x+7, x+9, x+10 \in \Omega$;

(c27) $x, x+2, x+3, x+5, x+6, x+8, x+9 \in \Omega$; *(c28)* $x, x+1, x+3, x+5, x+6, x+8, x+9 \in \Omega$;

(c29) $x, x+1, x+3, x+4, x+6, x+8, x+9 \in \Omega$; *(c30)* $x, x+1, x+3, x+4, x+6, x+7, x+9 \in \Omega$;

(c31) $x, x+3, x+6, x+7, x+10, x+11 \in \Omega$; *(c32)* $x, x+3, x+6, x+7, x+9, x+10 \in \Omega$;

(c33) $x, x+3, x+5, x+6, x+8, x+9 \in \Omega$; *(c34)* $x, x+2, x+4, x+5, x+7, x+8 \in \Omega$;

(c35) $x, x+3, x+4, x+7, x+10, x+11 \in \Omega$; *(c36)* $x, x+2, x+3, x+5, x+7, x+8 \in \Omega$;

(c37) $x, x+1, x+4, x+7, x+8, x+11 \in \Omega$; *(c38)* $x, x+1, x+3, x+5, x+6, x+8 \in \Omega$;

(c39) $x, x+3, x+4, x+7, x+8, x+11 \in \Omega$; *(c40)* $x, x+2, x+3, x+5, x+6, x+8 \in \Omega$;

(c41) $x, x+3, x+4, x+6, x+7, x+10 \in \Omega$; *(c42)* $x, x+1, x+3, x+6, x+8, x+9 \in \Omega$;

(c43) $x, x+1, x+4, x+7, x+10, x+11 \in \Omega$; *(c44)* $x, x+1, x+3, x+5, x+7, x+8 \in \Omega$;

(c45) $x, x+3, x+6, x+9, x+10 \in \Omega$; *(c46)* $x, x+3, x+6, x+8, x+9 \in \Omega$;

(c47) $x, x+3, x+5, x+7, x+8 \in \Omega$; *(c48)* $x, x+2, x+5, x+7, x+8 \in \Omega$;

(c49) $x, x+2, x+4, x+6, x+7 \in \Omega$; *(c50)* $x, x+1, x+4, x+7, x+10 \in \Omega$;

(c51) $x, x+1, x+3, x+6, x+9 \in \Omega$; *(c52)* $x, x+1, x+3, x+5, x+8 \in \Omega$;

(c53) $x, x+1, x+3, x+6, x+8 \in \Omega$; *(c54)* $x, x+1, x+3, x+5, x+7 \in \Omega$;

(c55) $x, x+3, x+4, x+7, x+10 \in \Omega$; *(c56)* $x, x+2, x+3, x+5, x+8 \in \Omega$;

(c57) $x, x+2, x+3, x+5, x+7 \in \Omega$; *(c58)* $x, x+3, x+6, x+7, x+10 \in \Omega$;

(c59) $x, x+3, x+5, x+6, x+8 \in \Omega$; *(c60)* $x, x+2, x+4, x+5, x+7 \in \Omega$.

Lemma 3.12. *If* $s \geq 8$*, then* $[\frac{(2s-2t-1)n}{2b}, \frac{(2s-t)n}{b}]$ *contains exactly one integer for every* $t \in [0, \cdots, \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor - 1]$.

Lemma 3.13. *If* $s \geq 10$*, then one of the following holds for some* x*:*

(c61) $x, x+3, x+6, x+9, x+12 \in \Omega$; *(c62)* $x, x+2, x+5, x+8, x+11 \in \Omega$;

(c63) $x, x+3, x+5, x+8, x+11 \in \Omega$; *(c64)* $x, x+3, x+6, x+8, x+11 \in \Omega$;

(c65) $x, x+3, x+6, x+9, x+11 \in \Omega$; *(c66)* $x, x+2, x+4, x+7, x+10 \in \Omega$;

(c67) $x, x+2, x+5, x+7, x+10 \in \Omega$; *(c68)* $x, x+2, x+5, x+8, x+10 \in \Omega$;

(c69) $x, x+3, x+5, x+7, x+10 \in \Omega$; *(c70)* $x, x+3, x+5, x+8, x+10 \in \Omega$;

(c71) $x, x+3, x+6, x+8, x+10 \in \Omega$; *(c72)* $x, x+2, x+4, x+6, x+9 \in \Omega$;

(c73) $x, x+2, x+4, x+7, x+9 \in \Omega$; *(c74)* $x, x+2, x+5, x+7, x+9 \in \Omega$;

(c75) $x, x+3, x+5, x+7, x+9 \in \Omega$; *(c76)* $x, x+2, x+4, x+6, x+8 \in \Omega$.

Lemma 3.14. $s \leq 9$.

The proof of Lemma 3.11 and Lemma 3.13 is similar to that of Lemma 3.7, and the proof of Lemma 3.12 and Lemma 3.14 is similar to that of Lemma 3.10.

In view of Lemmas 3.5 and 3.14, from now on we may always assume that $s \leq 9$.

Let k_1 be the largest positive integer such that $\lceil \frac{(k_1-1)n}{c} \rceil = \lceil \frac{(k_1-1)n}{b} \rceil$ and $\frac{k_1 n}{c} \leq m < \frac{k_1 n}{b}$. Since $\frac{bn}{c} \leq n-1 < n = \frac{bn}{b}$ and $\frac{tn}{b} - \frac{tn}{c} = \frac{t(c-b)n}{bc} > 2$ for all $t \geq b$, such integer k_1 always exists and $k_1 \leq b$.

Lemma 3.15. *Suppose* $\lceil \frac{n}{c} \rceil = \lceil \frac{n}{b} \rceil$, *then* $k_1 \leq \frac{b}{a}$.

Proof. Since $\lceil \frac{n}{c} \rceil = \lceil \frac{n}{b} \rceil$, we have $k_1 \geq 2$. Assume on the contrary that $k_1 > \frac{b}{a}$.

Since $\lceil \frac{(k_1-1)n}{c} \rceil = \lceil \frac{(k_1-1)n}{b} \rceil$, we have

$$1 > \frac{(k_1-1)n}{b} - \frac{(k_1-1)n}{c} = \frac{(c-b)(k_1-1)n}{cb}. \tag{3.1}$$

If $a-1 \geq \frac{b}{k_1}$, then $\frac{(c-b)(k_1-1)n}{cb} = \frac{(a-1)(k_1-1)n}{cb} \geq \frac{(k_1-1)}{k_1} \times \frac{n}{c} > 1$, contradiction. Thus we have that $\frac{b}{k_1} + 1 > a > \frac{b}{k_1}$ and $\frac{b}{k_1}$ is not an integer.

If $k_1 \geq 3$. Since $a \geq 35$, we have $\frac{(c-b)(k_1-1)n}{cb} = \frac{a-1}{a} \times \frac{a}{b} \times \frac{(k_1-1)n}{c} \geq \frac{34}{35} \times \frac{3-1}{3} \times 2 > 1$, contradiction.

If $k_1 = 2$. $b < 2a < b+2$, thus b is an odd number, we may assume $b = 2l+1$. Then $a = l+1$ and $c = 3l+1$. (4.6) implies that $n < 6l+5+\frac{1}{l}$. Moreover, $\gcd(n,6) = 1$, by $6l+2 < n \leq 6l+5$, we infer that $n = 6l+5$. Thus

$$\left\lceil \frac{n}{c} \right\rceil = \left\lceil \frac{6l+5}{3l+1} \right\rceil = 3 < 4 = \left\lceil \frac{6l+5}{2l+1} \right\rceil = \left\lceil \frac{n}{b} \right\rceil,$$

contradiction. □

Then we can show that Proposition 3.1 holds through the following two propositions.

Proposition 3.16. *Suppose* $\lceil \frac{n}{c} \rceil < \lceil \frac{n}{b} \rceil$, *then Proposition 3.1 holds.*

Proposition 3.17. *Suppose* $\lceil \frac{n}{c} \rceil = \lceil \frac{n}{b} \rceil$ *and* $k_1 \leq \frac{b}{a}$, *then Proposition 3.1 holds.*

4. Proof of Proposition 3.16

Lemma 4.1. *If* $[\frac{n}{c}, \frac{n}{b}]$ *contains at least two integers, then* $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 1$.

Proof. We prove this lemma under assumption $(A4)$. For $(A2)$ and $(A3)$, the proof is very similar.

Since $a \leq b$, by Lemma 3.4 we may assume every integer in $[\frac{n}{c}, \frac{n}{b}]$ in not co-prime to n. Since $n = p_1p_2p_3$, and $p_1p_3 | b$, it follows that $\frac{n}{b} \leq p_2$. Then one of the following holds:

$$m_1 - 1 < \frac{n}{c} \leq m_1 < m_1 + 1 < \frac{n}{b} = m_1 + 2 = p_2, \tag{4.1}$$

$$m_1 - 1 < \frac{n}{c} \leq m_1 < m_1 + 1 \leq \frac{n}{b} < m_1 + 2. \tag{4.2}$$

For case (4.1): We have that $\gcd(m_1, n) > 1, \gcd(m_1+1, n) > 1$ and $\gcd(n,6) = 1$, we infer that $p_2 \geq 23$ and $\gcd(n, m_1+3) = 1$, then $m_1 \geq 21$ and $n \geq 23b$. Note that

$$2m_1 - 2 < \frac{2n}{c} < \frac{2n}{b} = 2m_1 + 4 < 2m_1 + 5. \tag{4.3}$$

Let $m = 2m_1+5$ and $k = 2$. Since $1+c = a+b$, by (4.3) we have $(2m_1-2)(b+a-1) < (2m_1+5)b$, and thus $(2m_1-2)(a-1) < 7b$. Since $a \geq 35$ and $m_1 \geq 21$, we have

$$ma = (2m_1+5)a = \frac{2m_1+5}{2m_1-2} \times \frac{a}{a-1} \times (2m_1-2)(a-1) < \frac{2\times 21+5}{2\times 21-2} \times \frac{35}{35-1} \times 7b < 23b \leq n,$$

and the result holds.

For case (4.2): We have that $\gcd(m_1, n) > 1, \gcd(m_1+1, n) > 1$ and $\gcd(n, 6) = 1$, we infer that $m_1 \geq 10$. Then $n \geq 11b$. Since $n = p_1p_2p_3$, we have $\gcd(2m_1+1, n) = 1$ or $\gcd(2m_1+3, n) = 1$. Note that

$$2m_1 - 2 < \frac{2n}{c} \leq 2m_1 < 2m_1 + 1 < 2m_1 + 2 \leq \frac{2n}{b} < 2m_1 + 4. \tag{4.4}$$

Since $1+c = a+b$, by (4.4) we have $(2m_1-2)(b+a-1) < (2m_1+4)b$, and thus $(2m_1-2)(a-1) < 6b$. Let $k = 2$ $m \in \{2m_1+1, 2m_1+3\}$ be such that $\gcd(m, n) = 1$. Since $a \geq 35$ and $m_1 \geq 10$, we have

$$ma \leq (2m_1+3)a = \frac{2m_1+3}{2m_1-2} \times \frac{a}{a-1} \times (2m_1-2)(a-1) < \frac{2\times 10+3}{2\times 10-2} \times \frac{35}{35-1} \times 6b = \frac{805b}{102} < n,$$

and the result holds. □

By Lemma 4.1, we nay assume that $[\frac{n}{c}, \frac{n}{b}]$ contains exactly one integer m_1, and thus

$$m_1 - 1 < \frac{n}{c} \leq m_1 < \frac{n}{b} < m_1 + 1.$$

Consequently, $\frac{n}{b} - m_1 < 1$ and $m_1 - \frac{n}{c} < 1$.

Lemma 4.2. *If* $4 < \frac{n}{c} \leq 5 < \frac{n}{b} < 6$ *and* $5|n$, *then* $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 1$.

Proof. Since $4 < \frac{n}{c} \leq 5 < \frac{n}{b} < 6$, $n \geq 5b$. Note that $m_1 = \lceil \frac{n}{c} \rceil = 5$. We divide the proof into eight cases.

Case 1. $8 < \frac{2n}{c} \leq 9 < 10 < \frac{2n}{b} < 12$.

Since $8(b+a-1) = 8c < 2n < 12b$, we have $8(a-1) < 4b$. Clearly, $\gcd(n, 9) = 1$. Then

$$9a = \frac{9a}{8(a-1)} \times 8(a-1) < \frac{9}{8} \times \frac{35}{34} \times 4b = \frac{315b}{272} < 5b \leq n.$$

By Lemma 3.3(1), this lemma holds with $k = 2, m = 9$.

Case 2. $9 < \frac{2n}{c} \leq 10 < 11 < \frac{2n}{b} < 12$ and $\gcd(n, 11) = 1$.

Since $9(b+a-1) = 9c < 12b$, we have $9(a-1) < 3b$ and

$$11a = \frac{11a}{9(a-1)} \times 9(a-1) < \frac{11}{9} \times \frac{35}{34} \times 3b = \frac{385b}{102} < 5b \leq n.$$

Then Lemma 3.3(1) can be applied with $k = 2, m = 11$.

Case 3. $9 < \frac{2n}{c} \leq 10 < 11 < \frac{2n}{b} < 12$, $\gcd(n, 11) = 11$ and $13 < \frac{3n}{c} \leq 14 < 15 < 16 < \frac{3n}{b} < 18$.

It still holds $9(a-1) < 3b$. By assumption $n \geq 1000$ and $5 \times 7 \times 11 < 1000$, we have $\gcd(n, 14) = 1$. Then

$$14a = \frac{14a}{9(a-1)} \times 9(a-1) < \frac{14}{9} \times \frac{35}{34} \times 3b = \frac{245b}{51} < 5b \leq n.$$

Case 4. $9 < \frac{2n}{c} \leq 10 < 11 < \frac{2n}{b} < 12$, $\gcd(n, 11) = 11$ and $14 < \frac{3n}{c} \leq 15 < 16 < \frac{3n}{b} < 18$.

In this case, $14(a-1) < 4b$. Since $\gcd(n, 16) = 1$, we have

$$16a = \frac{16a}{14(a-1)} \times 14(a-1) < \frac{16}{14} \times \frac{35}{34} \times 4b = \frac{80b}{17} < 5b \leq n.$$

Case 5. $9 < \frac{2n}{c} \leq 10 < \frac{2n}{b} < 11$.

In this case we have $9(a-1) < 2b$.

Subcase 5.1. $13 < \frac{3n}{c} \leq 15 < 16 < \frac{3n}{b} < 17$.

Clearly, $\gcd(n, 16) = 1$. Then

$$16a = \frac{16a}{9(a-1)} \times 9(a-1) < \frac{16}{9} \times \frac{35}{34} \times 2b = \frac{560b}{153} < 5b \leq n.$$

Subcase 5.2. $13 < \frac{3n}{c} \leq 14 < 15 < \frac{3n}{b} < 16$ and $\gcd(n, 7) = 1$.

$$14a = \frac{14a}{9(a-1)} \times 9(a-1) < \frac{14}{9} \times \frac{35}{34} \times 2b = \frac{490b}{153} < 5b \leq n.$$

Subcase 5.3. $13 < \frac{3n}{c} \leq 14 < 15 < \frac{3n}{b} < 16$ and $\gcd(n, 7) > 1$.

Since $n > 1000$ and $35|n$, we have $\gcd(19, n) = 1$. It is easy to know that $18 < \frac{4n}{c} \leq 19 < 20 < \frac{3n}{b} < 22$. Then

$$19a = \frac{19a}{9(a-1)} \times 9(a-1) < \frac{19}{9} \times \frac{35}{34} \times 2b = \frac{665b}{153} < 5b \leq n.$$

Case 6. $14 < \frac{3n}{c} \leq 15 < \frac{3n}{b} < 16$.

In this case we have $14(a-1) < 2b$.

Subcase 6.1. $18 < \frac{4n}{c} \leq 19 < 20 < 21 < \frac{3n}{b} < 22$.

By assumption $n > 1000$ and $5 \times 7 \times 19 = 665 < 1000$, we have $\gcd(n, 21) = 1$ or $\gcd(n, 19) = 1$. Let m be one of 19 and 21 such that $\gcd(n, m) = 1$. Then

$$ma \leq 21a = \frac{21a}{14(a-1)} \times 14(a-1) < \frac{21}{14} \times \frac{35}{34} \times 2b = \frac{105b}{34} < 5b \leq n.$$

Subcase 6.2. $19 < \frac{4n}{c} \leq 20 < 21 < \frac{4n}{b} < 22$ and $\gcd(n, 21) = 1$.

The proof is similar to above.

Subcase 6.3. $19 < \frac{4n}{c} \leq 20 < 21 < \frac{4n}{b} < 22$ and $\gcd(n, 21) > 1$.

In this case we have $\gcd(n, 26) = 1$ and $23 < \frac{5n}{c} \leq 25 < 26 < \frac{5n}{b} < 28$. Then

$$26a = \frac{26a}{14(a-1)} \times 14(a-1) < \frac{26}{14} \times \frac{35}{34} \times 2b = \frac{65b}{17} < 5b \leq n.$$

Subcase 6.4. $18 < \frac{4n}{c} \leq 19 < 20 < \frac{3n}{b} < 21$.

It must hold that $23 < \frac{5n}{c} \leq 24 < 25 < \frac{3n}{b} < 27$. Since $\gcd(24, n) = 1$, we have

$$24a = \frac{24a}{14(a-1)} \times 14(a-1) < \frac{24}{14} \times \frac{35}{34} \times 2b = \frac{60b}{17} < 5b \leq n.$$

Case 7. $19 < \frac{4n}{c} \leq 20 < \frac{4n}{b} < 21$.

In this case, $19(a-1) < 2b$.

Subcase 7.1. $23 < \frac{5n}{c} \leq 24 < 25 < \frac{5n}{b} < 27$.

Since $\gcd(24, n) = 1$, we have

$$24a = \frac{24a}{19(a-1)} \times 19(a-1) < \frac{24}{19} \times \frac{35}{34} \times 2b = \frac{840b}{323} < 5b \leq n.$$

Subcase 7.2. $24 < \frac{5n}{c} \leq 25 < 26 \frac{5n}{b} < 27$.

It must hold that $32 < \frac{7n}{c} \leq 35 < 36\frac{5n}{b} < 39$. Since $\gcd(n, 36) = 1$, we have

$$36a = \frac{36a}{19(a-1)} \times 19(a-1) < \frac{36}{19} \times \frac{35}{34} \times 2b = \frac{1260b}{323} < 5b \leq n.$$

Case 8. $24 < \frac{5n}{c} \leq 25 < \frac{5n}{b} < 26$.

By directly computing, we have $24(a-1) < 2b$, then $\frac{b}{a} > \frac{24}{2} \times \frac{33}{34} = \frac{198}{17}$ and $s \geq 11$, which contradicts to our assumption $s \leq 9$. □

Lemma 4.3. *If* $6 < \frac{n}{c} \leq 7 < \frac{n}{b} < 8$ *and* $7|n$, *then* $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 1$.

Proof. Since $6 < \frac{n}{c} \leq 7 < \frac{n}{b} < 8$, $n \geq 7b$. Note that $m_1 = \lceil \frac{n}{c} \rceil = 7$. We divide the proof into five cases.

Case 1. $12 < \frac{2n}{c} \leq 13 < 14 < 15 < \frac{2n}{b} < 16$.

Since $13 \times 7 \times 5 < 1000$, we have $\gcd(13, n) = 1$ or $\gcd(15, n) = 1$. Let m be one of 13 and 15 such that $\gcd(n, m) = 1$. Easily, we have $12(a-1) < 4b$, then

$$ma \leq 15a = \frac{15a}{12(a-1)} \times 12(a-1) < \frac{15}{12} \times \frac{35}{34} \times 4b = \frac{175b}{34} < 7b \leq n.$$

Case 2. $12 < \frac{2n}{c} \leq 13 < 14 < \frac{2n}{b} < 15$.

It must hold that $24 < \frac{4n}{c} \leq 26 < 27 < 28 < \frac{4n}{b} < 30$ and $12(a-1) < 3b$. Since $\gcd(n, 27) = 1$, we have

$$27a = \frac{27a}{12(a-1)} \times 12(a-1) < \frac{27}{12} \times \frac{35}{34} \times 3b = \frac{945b}{136} < 7b \leq n.$$

Case 3. $13 < \frac{2n}{c} \leq 14 < 15 < \frac{2n}{b} < 16$.

Subcase 3.1. $\gcd(n, 15) = 1$. The proof is similar to Case 1.

Subcase 3.2. $\gcd(n, 15) = 5$. Then we have $\gcd(n, 22) = 1$, $12(a-1) < 3b$ and $19 < \frac{3n}{c} \leq 21 < 22 < \frac{2n}{b} < 24$.

$$22a = \frac{22a}{12(a-1)} \times 12(a-1) < \frac{22}{12} \times \frac{35}{34} \times 3b = \frac{385b}{68} < 7b \leq n.$$

Case 4. $13 < \frac{2n}{c} \leq 14 < \frac{2n}{b} < 15$.

In this case $13(a-1) < 2b$.

Subcase 4.1. $19 < \frac{3n}{c} \leq 21 < 22 < \frac{2n}{b} \leq 23$.

We have $\frac{5n}{c} \leq 35 < 36 < \frac{5n}{b}$ and $\gcd(n, 36) = 1$. Then

$$36a = \frac{36a}{13(a-1)} \times 13(a-1) < \frac{36}{13} \times \frac{35}{34} \times 2b = \frac{1260b}{221} < 7b \leq n.$$

Subcase 4.2. $19 < \frac{3n}{c} \leq 20 < 21 < \frac{3n}{b} \leq 22$ and $\gcd(n, 20) = 1$.

$$20a = \frac{20a}{13(a-1)} \times 13(a-1) < \frac{20}{13} \times \frac{35}{34} \times 2b = \frac{700b}{221} < 7b \leq n.$$

Subcase 4.3. $19 < \frac{3n}{c} \leq 20 < 21 < \frac{3n}{b} \leq 22$ and $\gcd(n, 20) = 5$.

It must hold $\frac{4n}{c} \le 27 < 28 < \frac{4n}{b}$. Since $\gcd(27, n) = 1$, we have

$$27a = \frac{27a}{13(a-1)} \times 13(a-1) < \frac{27}{13} \times \frac{35}{34} \times 2b = \frac{945b}{221} < 7b \le n.$$

Case 5. $20 < \frac{3n}{c} \le 21 < \frac{3n}{b} \le 22$.

If $29 < \frac{4n}{b}$, the proof is similar to Subcase 4.1. If $\frac{4n}{c} \le 27$, the proof is similar to Subcase 4.2.

If $27 < \frac{4n}{c} \le 28 < \frac{4n}{b} \le 29$, we have $27(a-1) < 2b$, then $\frac{b}{a} > \frac{27}{2} \times \frac{33}{34} = \frac{891}{68}$ and $s \ge 13$, which contradicts to our assumption $s \le 9$.

□

Let l be the smallest integer such that $[\frac{ln}{c}, \frac{ln}{b})$ contains at least four integers. Clearly, $l \ge 3$. Since $\frac{n}{b} - m_1 < 1$ and $m_1 - \frac{n}{c} < 1$, by using the minimality of l we obtain that $lm_1 - 4 < \frac{ln}{c} < \frac{ln}{b} < lm_1 + 4$. Then $\frac{ln(c-b)}{bc} = \frac{ln}{b} - \frac{ln}{c} < (lm_1 + 4) - (lm_1 - 4) = 8$ and thus

$$l < \frac{8bc}{(c-b)n} < \frac{8b}{(a-1)(m_1-1)} \le \frac{8b}{(35-1)(5-1)} < b.$$

We claim that $[\frac{ln}{c}, \frac{ln}{b})$ contains at most six integers. For any positive integer j, let N_j denote the number of integers contained in $[\frac{jn}{c}, \frac{jn}{b})$. Since

$$\Big(\frac{(j+1)n}{b} - (j+1)m_1\Big) - \Big(\frac{jn}{b} - jm_1\Big) = \frac{n}{b} - m_1 < 1,$$
$$\Big((j+1)m_1 - \frac{(j+1)n}{c}\Big) - \Big(jm_1 - \frac{jn}{c}\Big) = m_1 - \frac{n}{c} < 1,$$

we infer that $N_{j+1} - N_j \le 2$, it is sufficient to show our claim.

By the claim above we have

$$lm_1 - j_0 < \frac{ln}{c} \le lm_1 - j_0 + 1 < \cdots < lm_1 - j_0 + 4 < \frac{ln}{b} \le lm_1 - j_0 + 6,$$

for some $1 \le j_0 \le 4$. We remark that since $n = p_1p_2p_3$ and $[\frac{ln}{c}, \frac{ln}{b})$ contains at least four integers, one of them (say m) must be co-prime to n. If $ma < n$, then we have done by Lemma 3.3(1)(with $k = l < b$).

Lemma 4.4. *If $m_1 \ne 5, 7$, then* $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 1$.

Proof. If $m_1 \ne 5, 7$, then $m_1 \ge 10$ and $n \ge m_1 b \ge 10b$. Let $k = l$ and let m be one of the integers in $[\frac{ln}{c}, \frac{ln}{b})$ which is co-prime to n.

Then $(lm_1 - j_0)(b + a - 1) = (lm_1 - j_0)c < ln \le (lm_1 - j_0 + 6)b$, so $(lm_1 - j_0)(a-1) < 6b$. Note that $m \le lm_1 + 3$ and $l \ge 3$, then

$$\begin{aligned} ma \le (lm_1 + 3)a &= \frac{lm_1 + 3}{lm_1 - j_0} \times \frac{a}{a-1} \times (lm_1 - j_0)(a-1) \\ &< \frac{3 \times 10 + 3}{3 \times 10 - 4} \times \frac{35}{34} \times 6b = \frac{3465b}{442} < 10b \le n, \end{aligned}$$

and we have done. □

5. Proof of Proposition 3.17

In this section, we always assume that $\lceil \frac{n}{c} \rceil = \lceil \frac{n}{b} \rceil$, so $k_1 \geq 2$, and we also assume that $k_1 \leq \frac{b}{a}$. Since $a \leq \frac{b}{k_1}$, by Lemma 3.3 we may assume that $\gcd(n, m_1) > 1$ for every $m_1 \in [\frac{k_1 n}{c}, \frac{k_1 n}{b})$.

Lemma 5.1. (Lemma 3.7 of [11])

If $u < \frac{n}{c} < \frac{n}{b} < v$ for some real numbers u, v and $u(k_1 - 1) > s + 1$, then

$$n < \frac{uv(k_1 - 1)(s + 1)}{u(k_1 - 1) - (s + 1)}.$$

Lemma 5.2. $k_1 \leq 6$.

Proof. If $k_1 \geq 7$, then $7 \leq k_1 \leq s \leq 9$. By Lemma 3.10, $n < 4b$. Applying Lemma 5.1 with $u = 2$ and $v = 4$, we infer that $n < 240$, which contradicts to our assumption $n > 1000$. □

Lemma 5.3. *If $k_1 = 6$, then* $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 1$.

Proof. Assume that $k_1 = 6$. Then $s \geq 6$, by Lemma 3.10, we have $n < 4b$. If $s \leq 8$, applying Lemma 5.1 with $u = 2$ and $v = 4$, we infer that $n < 360$, which contradicts to our assumption $n > 1000$.

Let $s = 9$. If $3 < \frac{n}{c} < \frac{n}{b} < 4$, the proof is similar to above. If $2 < \frac{n}{c} < \frac{n}{b} < 3$, then $10 < \frac{5n}{c} < \frac{5n}{b} < 15$. By the definition of k_1, we have $10 + r < \frac{5n}{b} < \frac{5n}{b} \leq 11 + r$ for some $r = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$.

Case 1. $r = 0$. $10 < \frac{5n}{c} < \frac{5n}{b} \leq 11$, then

$$12 < \frac{6n}{c} \leq 13 < \frac{6n}{b} < \frac{66}{5}, \qquad 14 < \frac{7n}{c} < 15 < \frac{7n}{b} < \frac{77}{5},$$
$$16 < \frac{8n}{c} \leq 17 < \frac{8n}{b} < \frac{88}{5}, \qquad 18 < \frac{9n}{c} \leq 19 < \frac{9n}{b} < \frac{99}{5},$$

and we can find $m \in \{13, 15, 17, 19\}$ such $\gcd(m, n) = 1$, by Lemma 3.4, $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 1$.

Case 2. $r = 1$. $11 < \frac{5n}{c} < \frac{5n}{b} < 12$, then $\frac{77}{5} < \frac{7n}{c} < 16 < \frac{7n}{b} < \frac{84}{5}$ and $\gcd(16, n) = 1$, by Lemma 3.4, $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 1$.

Case 3. $r = 2$. $12 < \frac{5n}{c} < \frac{5n}{b} < 13$. If $\frac{84}{5} < \frac{7n}{c} < 18 < \frac{91}{5}$, then $\gcd(18, n) = 1$, and $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 1$. Otherwise,

$$\frac{72}{5} < \frac{6n}{c} \leq 15 < \frac{6n}{b} < \frac{78}{5}, \qquad \frac{84}{5} < \frac{7n}{c} \leq 17 < \frac{7n}{b} < 18,$$
$$\frac{96}{5} < \frac{8n}{c} \leq 20 < \frac{8n}{b} < \frac{144}{7}, \qquad \frac{108}{5} < \frac{9n}{c} < 22 < \frac{9n}{b} < \frac{162}{7},$$

and we can find $m \in \{5, 11, 17\}$ such $\gcd(m, n) = 1$, by Lemma 3.4, $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 1$. Otherwise, $n = 5 \times 11 \times 17 = 935 < 1000$, which contradicts to our assumption.

Case 4. $r = 3$. $13 < \frac{5n}{c} < \frac{5n}{b} < 14$. Then $\frac{78}{5} < \frac{6n}{c} < 16 < \frac{6n}{b} < \frac{84}{5}$, and $\gcd(16, n) = 1$, by Lemma 3.4, $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 1$.

Case 5. $r = 4$. $14 < \frac{5n}{c} < \frac{5n}{b} < 15$. Then

$$\frac{84}{5} < \frac{6n}{c} \leq 17 < \frac{6n}{b} < 18, \qquad \frac{98}{5} < \frac{7n}{c} < 20 < \frac{7n}{b} < 21,$$
$$\frac{112}{5} < \frac{8n}{c} \leq 23 < \frac{8n}{b} < 24, \qquad \frac{126}{5} < \frac{9n}{c} < 26 < \frac{9n}{b} < 27,$$

and we can find $m \in \{5, 13, 17, 23\}$ such $\gcd(m, n) = 1$,by Lemma 3.4, $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 1$. □

Lemma 5.4. *If $k_1 = 5$, then* $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 1$.

Proof. Assume that $k_1 = 5$. Since $s \geq 5$, we have $n < 6b$. If $s \leq 6$ or $\frac{11}{4} < \frac{n}{c}$, we can get a contradiction by applying Lemma 5.1.

For $s = 7, 8, 9$, let $2 < \frac{n}{c} < \frac{n}{b} < 3$. We have $8 + r < \frac{4n}{c} < \frac{4n}{b} \leq 9 + r$ for some $r = 0, 1, 2, 3$. If $r = 3$, then $\frac{11}{4} < \frac{n}{c}$, which has been solved.

Case 1. $r = 0$. Then

$$10 < \frac{5n}{c} \leq 11 < \frac{5n}{b} < \frac{45}{4}, 12 < \frac{6n}{c} \leq 13 < \frac{6n}{b} < \frac{27}{2}, 14 < \frac{7n}{c} < 15 < \frac{7n}{b} < \frac{63}{4},$$

and we can find $m \in \{11, 13, 15\}$ such $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ because $11 \times 13 \times 5 < 1000$. By Lemma 3.4, $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 1$.

Case 2. $r = 1$. Then $\frac{45}{4} < \frac{5n}{c} < 12 < \frac{5n}{b} < \frac{25}{2}$, and $\gcd(12, n) = 1$. By Lemma 3.4, $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 1$.

Case 3. $r = 2$. Then $15 < \frac{6n}{c} < 16 < \frac{6n}{b} < \frac{33}{2}$, and $\gcd(16, n) = 1$. Let $m = 16$ and $k = 6$, we have $m \cdot a = 16 \times (c + 1 - n) \leq 16 \times (\frac{2n-1}{5} - \frac{4n}{11} + 1) = \frac{16\times(2n+44)}{55} < \frac{2n+44}{3} < n$. By Lemma 3.4, $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 1$. □

Lemma 5.5. *If $k_1 = 4$, then* $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 1$.

Proof. Assume that $k_1 = 4$. Since $s \geq 4$, we have $n < 6b$.

Case 1. $s = 4$, or $3 < \frac{n}{c}$ and $s = 5, 6, 7$, we can get a contradiction by applying Lemma 5.1.

Case 2. If $2 < \frac{n}{c} < \frac{n}{b} < 3$ and $s = 5, 6, 7$. Then $6 + r < \frac{3n}{c} < \frac{3n}{b} \leq 7 + r$ for some $r = 0, 1, 2$.

Subcase 2.1. $r = 0$. We have $8 < \frac{4n}{c} < \frac{4n}{b} \leq \frac{28}{3}$, then $m_1 = 9$, which contradicts to $\gcd(n, m_1) = 1$.

Subcase 2.2. $r = 1$. We have $12 < \frac{5n}{c} \leq 13 < \frac{5n}{b} < \frac{40}{3}$ or $\frac{35}{3} < \frac{5n}{c} < 12 < \frac{5n}{b} < \frac{40}{3}$.

If $\frac{5n}{c} < 12 < \frac{5n}{b}$, then $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 1$ by Lemma 3.4. If $12 < \frac{5n}{c} \leq 13 < \frac{5n}{b} < \frac{40}{3}$, we have $\frac{9n}{2b} < 12 < 13 < \frac{5n}{b}$, and $12 \in [\frac{9n}{2b}, \frac{5n}{b}]$. Since $\gcd(n, 12) = 1$, which contradicts to our previous assumption (B) with $t = 0, 1, 2$ for $s = 5, 6, 7$, respectively.

Subcase 2.3. $r = 2$. We have $\frac{8}{3} < \frac{n}{c} < \frac{n}{b} < 3$, we can get a contradiction by Lemma 5.1.

Case 3. $s = 8, 9$. We have $n < 4b$. Then $6 + r < \frac{3n}{c} < \frac{3n}{b} \leq 7 + r$ for some $r = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$.

Subcase 3.1. $r = 0$. We have $8 < \frac{4n}{c} < \frac{4n}{b} < \frac{28}{3}$, then $m_1 = 9$, which contradicts to $\gcd(n, m_1) = 1$.

Subcase 3.2. $r = 1$. We have $\frac{28}{3} < \frac{4n}{c} \leq 10 < \frac{4n}{b} < \frac{32}{3}$. Assume that $5|n$, otherwise $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 1$ by Lemma 3.4. Furthermore, if $\frac{5n}{c} < 12 < \frac{5n}{b}$, we also have $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 1$ by Lemma 3.4. Then $12 < \frac{5n}{c} \leq 13 < \frac{5n}{b} < \frac{40}{3}$. Since $\gcd(n, 18) = 1$, we infer that $\frac{84}{5} < \frac{7n}{c} \leq 17 < \frac{7n}{b} < 18$ and $n = 5 \times 13 \times 17$. Otherwise, we have $\frac{13n}{2b} < \frac{52}{3} < 18 < \frac{7n}{b}$, which contradicts to (B) with $t = 1, 2$ for $s = 8, 9$, respectively.

Under assumption $(A4)$: $17 \geq \frac{n}{a} = \frac{n}{b} \times \frac{b}{a} \geq 2 \times 8 = 16 > 13 > \frac{17}{2}$, we infer that $a = 5 \times 13$. Because $8 \leq \frac{b}{a} < 10$, and $b = j\times17\times5 (j < 7)$ or $b = j\times17\times13 (j < 3)$. However, $\frac{6\times17\times5}{5\times13} = \frac{102}{13} < 8$, $\frac{2\times17\times13}{5\times13} = \frac{34}{5} < 8$, contradiction.

Under assumption $(A3)$: we infer that $a = 5 \times 13 + 1$. Because $8 \leq \frac{b}{a} < 10$, and $b = j \times 17 \times 5 + 1 (j < 7)$ or $b = j \times 17 \times 13 + 1 (j < 3)$. However, $\frac{6\times17\times5+1}{5\times13+1} = \frac{511}{66} < 8$, $\frac{2\times17\times13+1}{5\times13+1} = \frac{443}{66} < 8$, contradiction.

Under assumption $(A2)$, we distinguish three cases.

$(A2.1)$: $p_1p_2|a, p_1|b, p_2|c$, then $a = 5 \times 13$. Moreover, $40 \leq b < 50$ when $p_1 = 5$ and $104 \leq b < 130$ when $p_1 = 13$. If $p_1 = 5$, then $\frac{n}{b} \geq \frac{5\times13\times17}{50} > 22$, contradiction. If $p_1 = 13$, then $\frac{n}{b} \geq \frac{5\times13\times17}{130} = \frac{85}{8}$, contradiction.

$(A2.2)$: $p_1|a, p_1p_2|b, p_2|c$. If $p_1 = 5$, then by $a = j \times 5$ and $16 < \frac{n}{a} \leq 17$, we have $j = 13$, contradiction. If $p_1 = 13$, then by $a = j \times 5$ and $16 < \frac{n}{a} \leq 17$, we have $j = 5$, contradiction. If $p_1 = 17$, then $a = 4 \times 17 = 68$. Moreover, $b = 2 \times 13 \times 17$ or $b = j \times 5 \times 17 (j = 4, 5, 6)$. If $b = 2 \times 13 \times 17$, then $c = a + b - 1 = 373$, which contradicts to $\gcd(n, c) = 5$. If $b = j \times 5 \times 17 (j = 4, 5, 6)$, then $c \in \{261, 356, 431\}$, which contradicts to $\gcd(n, c) = 13$.

$(A2.3)$: $p_1|a, p_2|b, p_1p_2|c$. Similar to $(A2.2)$, we have $a = 4 \times 17 = 68$. Then $544 = 68 \times 8 \leq b < 680$. Since $b < \frac{n}{2} < 553$, we have $p_2 = 5, b \in \{545, 550\}$ or $p_2 = 13, b = 546$. If $p_2 = 5$, then $c \in \{612, 617\}$, which contradicts to $\gcd(n, c) = 5 \times 17$. If $p_2 = 13$, then $c = 613$, which contradicts to $\gcd(n, c) = 17 \times 13$.

A remark on the proof. From now on, throughout this section, if n is determined as a product of three small explicit primes similar to above, we only check it under assumption $(A4)$. The proof for $(A2)$ and $(A3)$ is not essentially different from the above process.

Subcase 3.3. $r = 2$. We have

$$\frac{32}{3} < \frac{4n}{c} \leq 11 < \frac{4n}{b} < 12, \frac{40}{3} < \frac{5n}{c} \leq 14 < \frac{5n}{b} < 15, 16 < \frac{6n}{c} \leq 17 < \frac{6n}{b} < 18.$$

Then $n = 7 \times 11 \times 17$. Otherwise, there exists $m \in \{11, 14, 17\}$ such that $\gcd(n, m) = 1$ and $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 1$. Clearly, $17 \geq \frac{n}{a} = \frac{n}{b} \times \frac{b}{a} \geq 2 \times 8 = 16 > 11 > \frac{17}{2}$, we have $a = 7 \times 11$. Because $8 \leq \frac{b}{a} < 10$, and $b = j \times 17 \times 7 (j < 6)$ or $b = j \times 17 \times 11 (j < 4)$. However, $\frac{5\times17\times7}{7\times11} = \frac{85}{11} < 8$, $\frac{3\times17\times13}{7\times13} = \frac{51}{7} < 8$, contradiction.

Subcase 3.4. $r = 3$. We have $15 < \frac{5n}{c} < 16 < \frac{5n}{b} < \frac{50}{3} < 17$ and $\gcd(n, 16) = 1$. Let $m = 16$ and $k = 5$, we have $m \cdot a = 16 \times (c + 1 - n) \leq 16 \times (\frac{n-1}{3} - \frac{3n}{10} + 1) = \frac{16\times(n+28)}{10} < n$, then $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 1$.

Subcase 3.5. $r = 4$. We have

$$\frac{40}{3} < \frac{4n}{c} \leq 14 < \frac{4n}{b} \leq \frac{44}{3}, \frac{50}{3} < \frac{5n}{c} < \frac{5n}{b} \leq \frac{55}{3} < 19, \frac{70}{3} < \frac{7n}{c} < \frac{7n}{b} \leq \frac{77}{3} < 26.$$

Since $\gcd(18, n) = \gcd(24, n) = 1$, we infer that $\frac{50}{3} < \frac{5n}{c} \leq 17 < \frac{5n}{b} < 18$, $24 < \frac{7n}{c} < 25 < \frac{7n}{b} < \frac{77}{3} < 26$. Because $5 \times 7 \times 17 < 1000$, at least one of $14, 17, 25$ is co-prime to n.

Subcase 3.6. $r = 5$. By Lemma 5.1, we infer that $n < 1000$ with $u = \frac{11}{3}$ and $v = 4$, contradiction. □

Lemma 5.6. *If* $k_1 = 3$, *then* $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 1$.

Proof. We distinguish five cases.

Case 1. $s = 3$. Then $\frac{n}{b} < 8$, and we have $4 + r < \frac{2n}{c} < \frac{2n}{b} \leq 5 + r$ for some $r = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, 11$.

Subcase 1.1. $r \geq 1$. We infer that $n < 160$ with $u = \frac{5}{2}$ and $v = 8$, contradiction.

Subcase 1.2. $r = 0$. We have $8 < \frac{4n}{c} < 9 < \frac{4n}{b} \leq 10$, and $\gcd(9, n) = 10$. Let $k = 4$ and $m = 9$, then $ma = 9 \times (c - b + 1) \leq 9 \times (\frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{2n}{5} + 1) = \frac{9n+45}{10} < n$, then $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 1$ by Lemma 3.3(1).

Case 2. $s = 4$. Then $\frac{n}{b} < 6$, and we have $4 + r < \frac{2n}{c} < \frac{2n}{b} \leq 5 + r$ for some $r = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, 7$.

Subcase 2.1. $r = 0$. We have $8 < \frac{4n}{c} < 9 < \frac{4n}{b} \leq 10$, and $\gcd(n, 9) = 1$, $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 1$.

Subcase 2.2. $r = 1$. We have $\frac{15}{2} < \frac{3n}{c} < 8 < \frac{3n}{b} < 9$, and $\gcd(n, 8) = 1$, $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 1$.

Subcase 2.3. $r \geq 2$. Then $3 < \frac{n}{c} < \frac{n}{b} < 6$, we infer that $n < 180$, contradiction.

Case 3. $s = 5$. Then $\frac{n}{b} < 6$, and we have $4 + r < \frac{2n}{c} < \frac{2n}{b} \leq 5 + r$ for some $r = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, 7$.

Subcase 3.1. $r = 0$. We have $8 < \frac{4n}{c} < 9 < \frac{4n}{b} \leq 10$, and $\gcd(n, 9) = 1$, $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 1$.

Subcase 3.2. $r = 1$. We have $\frac{15}{2} < \frac{3n}{c} < 8 < \frac{3n}{b} \leq 9$, and $\gcd(n, 8) = 1$, $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 1$.

Subcase 3.3. $r = 2$. We have $9 < \frac{3n}{c} < 10 < \frac{3n}{b} \leq \frac{21}{2}$, $12 < \frac{4n}{c} \leq 13 < \frac{4n}{b} \leq 14$, $15 < \frac{5n}{c} < \frac{5n}{b} \leq \frac{35}{2}$. Since $\gcd(n, 16) = 1$, we infer that $16 < \frac{5n}{c} \leq 17 < \frac{5n}{b} \leq \frac{35}{2}$ and $\frac{9n}{2b} < \frac{63}{4} < 16 < \frac{5n}{b}$, $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 1$.

Subcase 3.4. $r \geq 3$. Then $\frac{7}{2} < \frac{n}{c} < \frac{n}{b} < 6$, we infer that $n < 294$, contradiction.

Case 4. $s = 6, 7, 8$. Then $\frac{n}{b} < 4$, and we have $4 + r < \frac{2n}{c} < \frac{2n}{b} \leq 5 + r$ for some $r = 0, 1, 2, 3$.

Subcase 4.1. $r = 0$. We have $8 < \frac{4n}{c} < 9 < \frac{4n}{b} \leq 10$, and $\gcd(n, 9) = 1$, $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 1$.

Subcase 4.2. $r = 1$. We have $\frac{15}{2} < \frac{3n}{c} < 8 < \frac{3n}{b} \leq 9$, and $\gcd(n, 8) = 1$, $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 1$.

Subcase 4.3. $r = 2$. Same to *Subcase 3.3.*

Subcase 4.4. $r = 3$. We have $\frac{35}{2} < \frac{5n}{c} < \frac{5n}{b} \leq 20$. Since $\gcd(n, 18) = 1$, we infer that $18 < \frac{5n}{c} < 19 < \frac{5n}{b} < 20$. Then $\frac{9n}{2b} < 18 < \frac{5n}{b}$, contradiction to (B) with $t = 1, 2, 3$ for $s = 6, 7, 8$, respectively.

Case 5. $s = 9$. Then $\frac{n}{b} < 4$, and we have $4 + r < \frac{2n}{c} < \frac{2n}{b} \leq 5 + r$ for some $r = 0, 1, 2, 3$.

For $r = 0, 1, 2$. Same to Case 4. Then let $r = 3$, and $7 < \frac{2n}{c} < \frac{2n}{b} < 8$.

Subcase 5.1. $21 < \frac{6n}{c} \leq 22 < 23 < \frac{6n}{b} < 24$, then $\frac{49}{2} < \frac{7n}{c} < 25 < 26 < \frac{7n}{b} < 28$, at least one of $22, 23, 25, 26$ is co-prime to n, hence $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 1$.

Subcase 5.2. $21 < \frac{6n}{c} \leq 22 < \frac{6n}{b} < 23$, $\frac{49}{2} < \frac{7n}{c} < 25 < 26 < \frac{7n}{b} < \frac{161}{6}$. We infer that at least one of $22, 25, 26$ is co-prime to n and $\mathrm{ind}(S)$. Otherwise, $n = 11 \times 5 \times 13 < 1000$, contradiction.

Subcase 5.3. $21 < \frac{6n}{c} \leq 22 < \frac{6n}{b} < 23$, $\frac{49}{2} < \frac{7n}{c} < 25 < \frac{7n}{b} < 26$. Then $28 < \frac{8n}{c} < 29 < \frac{8n}{b} < \frac{208}{7}$, $\frac{63}{2} < \frac{9n}{c} < 29 < \frac{9n}{b} < \frac{234}{7}$. We infer that $n = 11 \times 5 \times 29$. Otherwise, at least one of $22, 25, 29$ is co-prime to n and $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 1$. So $29 \geq \frac{n}{a} = \frac{n}{b} \times \frac{b}{a} > 2 \times 9 = 18 > 11$. Since $\frac{29}{2} < 18$, we have $a = 11 \times 5$. Then $b = j \times 29 \times 5 (j < 6)$ or $b = j \times 29 \times 11 (j < 3)$ and $9 \leq \frac{b}{a} < 10$. However, $\frac{3 \times 29 \times 5}{11 \times 5} = \frac{97}{11} < 9$, $\frac{1 \times 29 \times 11}{11 \times 5} = \frac{29}{5} < 9$, we have $b \in \{580, 725, 638\}$. If $b = 638$, then $c = a + b - 1 = 692$, which contradicts to $\gcd(n, c) = 5 \times 29 = 145$. If $b \in \{580, 725\}$, then $c \in \{634, 779\}$, which contradicts to $\gcd(n, c) = 29 \times 11 = 319$.

□

Lemma 5.7. *If* $k_1 = 2$, $4 < \frac{2n}{c} \leq 5 < \frac{2n}{b} < 6$ *and* $a \leq \frac{b}{2}$, *then* $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 1$.

Proof. Note that $m_1 = 5$ and $b \geq 2a \geq 70$. Since $\gcd(n, m_1) > 1$ we have $5|n$. By the definition of k_1, we conclude that $[\frac{k_2 n}{c}, \frac{k_2 n}{b})$ contains at least one integer for each $k_2 \geq k_1 = 2$. Note that $6 < \frac{3n}{c} < \frac{3n}{b} < 9$. We distinguish three cases.

Case 1. $7 < \frac{3n}{c} < 8 < \frac{3n}{b} < 9$. Then $\frac{n}{3} < b < \frac{3n}{8} \leq c < \frac{3n}{7}$.

Since $\gcd(n, 8) = 1$. Let $m = 8$ and $k = 3 (< 70 \leq b)$. Then $ma = m(c - b + 1) \leq 8 \times (\frac{3n-1}{7} - \frac{n+1}{3} + 1) < n$, and we are done.

Case 2. $6 < \frac{3n}{c} \leq 7 < \frac{3n}{b} < 8$. Then $\frac{3n}{8} < b < \frac{2n}{5} < \frac{3n}{7} \leq c < \frac{n}{2}$.

If $\gcd(n, 7) = 1$, then let $m = 7$ and $k = 3$. Since $\frac{3n}{8} < b < c < \frac{n}{2}$, $ma = m(c - b + 1) \leq 7 \times (\frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{3n+1}{8} + 1) < n$, and we are done.

Next assume that $7|n$. Note that $8 < \frac{4n}{c} \leq 10 < \frac{4n}{b} < 12$.

If $9 \notin [\frac{4n}{c}, \frac{4n}{b})$, then $\frac{4n}{c} \geq 9$. Let $m = 12$ and $k = 5$. Since $\frac{5n}{c} \leq 7 \times \frac{5}{3} < 12 < 10 \times \frac{5}{4} < \frac{5}{4} \times \frac{4n}{b} = \frac{5n}{b}$ and $\frac{3n}{8} < b < c < \frac{4n}{9}$, we have $ma = m(c - b + 1) \leq 12 \times (\frac{4n-1}{9} - \frac{3n+1}{8} + 1) < n$, and we are done.

If $9 \in [\frac{4n}{c}, \frac{4n}{b})$, then $\frac{4n}{c} \geq 9$ and thus $\frac{3n}{8} < b < \frac{2n}{5} < \frac{4n}{9} < c < \frac{4n}{9}$. So

$$8n + \frac{n}{2} < \frac{69n}{8} < 23b < \frac{46n}{5} < 9n + \frac{n}{2} < 10n < \frac{92n}{9} < 23c < \frac{23n}{2} = 11n + \frac{n}{2}.$$

Note that $a = c - b + 1 \leq \frac{n+3}{8}$. If $a > \frac{n}{8}$, let $M = 12$. We obtain that $|Ma|_n > \frac{n}{2}$ and $|Mb|_n > \frac{n}{2}$, and we are done. If $a < \frac{n}{9}$, let $m = 9$ and $k = 4$, we have $ma < n$, and we are done. Then $\frac{n}{9} < a < \frac{n}{8}$, and thus

$$2n + \frac{n}{2} < \frac{23n}{9} < 23a < \frac{23n}{8} < 3n.$$

If $23c \leq 11n$, then $\frac{n}{9} < a = c - b + 1 \leq \frac{19n+57}{184}$, which implies that $n < 40$, contradiction. So we must have $23c > 11n$. Similarly, we can show that $23b < 9n$. Moreover, we have $\gcd(n, 23) = 1$, otherwise $n = 5 \times 7 \times 23 = 805 < 1000$, contradiction. Then $|23|_n + |23c|_n + |23(n - b)|_n + |23(n - a)|_n = n$ and we are done.

Case 3. $6 < \frac{3n}{c} \leq 7 < 8 < \frac{3n}{b} < 9$. Then $\frac{n}{3} < b < \frac{3n}{8} < \frac{3n}{7} \leq c < \frac{n}{2}$.

Note that $a = c - b + 1 \leq \frac{n+1}{6}$. If $a > \frac{n}{6}$, we have $n < 6a \leq n + 1$ implies that $6a = n + 1$, and $\gcd(n, n + 1) = \gcd(n, 6a) = \gcd(n, a) > 1$, contradiction. Then $a < \frac{n}{6}$.

Subcase 3.1. $11|n$. Then $\gcd(n, 7) = 1$, $\gcd(n, 13) = 1$ and $\gcd(n, 17) = 1$. Otherwise, $n \leq 5 \times 11 \times 17 = 935 < 1000$, contradiction.

We may assume that $a > \frac{n}{7}$. Otherwise, we can let $m = 7$ and $k = 3$, we have $ma < n$, so the lemma follows from Lemma 3.3(1). Then $\frac{3n}{2} < \frac{13n}{7} < 13a < \frac{13n}{6} < \frac{5n}{2} < 4n < \frac{13n}{3} < 13b < \frac{39n}{8} < 5n < \frac{11n}{2} < \frac{39n}{7} < 13c < \frac{13}{2}$.

If $13c < 6n$, then $\frac{n}{7} < a = c - b + 1 \leq \frac{5n+23}{39}$, so $n < 41$, contradiction. Hence we must have that $13c > 6n$, and then $|13c|_n < \frac{n}{2}$. If $13a < 2n$ or $13b > \frac{9n}{2}$, then $|13a|_n > \frac{n}{2}$ or $|13b|_n > \frac{n}{2}$. Since $\gcd(n, 13) = 1$, the lemma follows from Lemma 3.3(2) with $M = 13$.

Next assume that $13a > 2n$ and $13b < \frac{9n}{2}$. Then $\frac{2n}{13} < a < b < \frac{9n}{26}$. Therefore,

$$\frac{5n}{2} < \frac{34n}{13} < 17a < \frac{17n}{6} < 3n < \frac{11n}{2} < \frac{17n}{3} < 17b < \frac{153n}{26} < 6n.$$

We infer that $|17a|_n > \frac{n}{2}$ and $|17b|_n > \frac{n}{2}$. Since $\gcd(n, 17) = 1$, the lemma follows from Lemma 3.3(2) with $M = 17$.

Subcase 3.2. $7|n$. Then $\gcd(n, 11) = 1$ and $\gcd(n, 13) = 1$.

As in *Subcase 3.1.* We may assume that $a > \frac{n}{8}$, and by a similar argument, we can complete the proof with $M = 11$ or $M = 13$.

Subcase 3.3. $\gcd(n, 7) = \gcd(n, 11) = 1$. See the proof of Subcase 3.1 of Lemma 3.10 in [11]. □

Lemma 5.8. *If* $k_1 = 2$*, then* $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 1$.

Proof. Since $k_1 = 2$, we have $\lceil \frac{n}{c} \rceil = \lceil \frac{n}{b} \rceil$ and have $2+r < \frac{n}{c} < \frac{n}{b} \leq 3+r$ for some $r = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$. If $t = 2$, then $8 < \frac{2n}{c} < 9 < \frac{2n}{b} < 10$ and $\gcd(n, 9) = 1$, contradiction. By Lemma 4.14, we only need to prove it for $t \neq 0, 2$. Particularly, when $s \geq 6$, $\frac{n}{b} < 4$, we only need consider $r = 1$. We distinguish six cases.

Case 1. $s = 2$.

Subcase 1.1. $r = 1$. Then $\frac{3n}{2b} < 6 < \frac{2n}{b}$, we have $6 \in [\frac{3n}{2b}, \frac{2n}{b}]$ and $\gcd(n, 6) = 1$, contradiction.

Subcase 1.2. $r \geq 3$. By Lemma 5.1, we infer that $n < 60$ with $u = 5, v = 8$, contradiction.

Case 2. $s = 3$.

Subcase 2.1. $r = 1$. We infer that $6 < \frac{2n}{c} \leq 7 < \frac{2n}{b} < 8$ and $7|n$. Then $9 < \frac{3n}{c} < 10 < \frac{3n}{b} \leq 11$, or $10 < \frac{3n}{c} \leq 11 < \frac{3n}{b} < 12$. Otherwise, $n = 5 \times 7 \times 11 < 1000$, contradiction.

Then the proof is very similar to that in [11].

Subcase 2.2. $r \geq 3$. By Lemma 5.1, we infer that $n < 320$ with $u = 5, v = 8$, contradiction.

Case 3. $s = 4$. Then $\frac{n}{b} < 6$, and $t \leq 3$.

Subcase 3.1. $r = 1$. We infer that $6 < \frac{2n}{c} \leq 7 < \frac{2n}{b} < 8$ and $7|n$. Then $9 < \frac{3n}{c} < 10 < \frac{3n}{b} \leq 11$, or $10 < \frac{3n}{c} \leq 11 < \frac{3n}{b} < 12$. Otherwise, $n = 5 \times 7 \times 11 < 1000$, contradiction.

If $9 < \frac{3n}{c} < 10 < \frac{3n}{b} \leq 11$, then $\gcd(n, 13) = 1$, otherwise $n = 5 \times 7 \times 13 < 1000$. Hence we infer that $13 < \frac{4n}{c} \leq 14 < \frac{4n}{b} \leq \frac{44}{3}$, and $\frac{4n}{b} > 14 > 13 > \frac{77}{6} > \frac{7n}{2b}$, contradiction.

If $10 < \frac{3n}{c} \leq 11 < \frac{3n}{b} < 12$, then $\gcd(n, 5) = 1$, otherwise $n = 5 \times 7 \times 11 < 1000$. Hence we infer that $\frac{3n}{b} > 11 > 10 > \frac{5n}{2b}$, contradiction.

Subcase 3.2. $r = 3$. Then $10 < \frac{2n}{c} \leq 11 < \frac{2n}{b} < 12$, and $\frac{3n}{b} > \frac{33}{2} > 16 > 15 > \frac{5n}{2b}$. Then $\gcd(n, 16) = 1$ and $16 \in [\frac{5n}{2b}, \frac{3n}{b}]$, contradiction.

Case 4. $s = 5$. Then $\frac{n}{b} < 6$, and $t \leq 3$.

Subcase 4.1. $r = 1$. We have $6 < \frac{2n}{c} \leq 7 < \frac{2n}{b} < 8$, and $7|n$. If $9 < \frac{3n}{c} < 10 < \frac{3n}{b} \leq 11$, the proof is similar to *Subcase 3.1.*

If $10 < \frac{3n}{c} \leq 11 < \frac{3n}{b} < 12$, then $\gcd(n, 5) = 1$, otherwise $n = 5 \times 7 \times 11 < 1000$. We infer that $\frac{40}{3} < \frac{4n}{c} \leq 14 < \frac{4n}{b} < 15$ and $n = 7 \times 11 \times 17$. Moreover, $\frac{n}{a} = \frac{n}{b} \times \frac{b}{a} > 10$ implies that $a = 7 \times 11$ or $a = 7 \times 17$.

If $a = 7 \times 11$, then $b = j \times 11 \times 17$ or $b = j \times 7 \times 17$ for some j. By $s = 5$, we have $\frac{b}{a} \in [5, 6)$, and we can't find such j.

If $a = 7\times17$, then $b = j\times11\times17$ or $b = j\times11\times7$ for some j. We infer that $b = 9\times11\times7 = 693$ and $c = a + b - 1 = 811$, which contradicts to $\gcd(n, c) = 11 \times 17$.

Subcase 4.2. $r = 3$. Then $10 < \frac{2n}{c} \leq 11 < \frac{2n}{b} < 12$. Since $\gcd(n, 16) = 1$, We infer that $16 < \frac{3n}{c} \leq 17 < \frac{3n}{b} < 18$ and we can assume that $11 \times 17|n$. Then $\frac{4n}{b} > \frac{17\times4}{3} > 22 > 21 > \frac{7n}{2b}$ and $n = 7 \times 11 \times 17$. Similar to *Subcase 4.1.*, it is impossible.

Case 5. $s = 6$.

$r = 1$. We have $6 < \frac{2n}{c} \leq 7 < \frac{2n}{b} < 8$, and $7|n$. If $9 < \frac{3n}{c} < 10 < \frac{3n}{b} \leq 11$, the proof is similar to *Subcase 3.1.*

If $10 < \frac{3n}{c} \leq 11 < \frac{3n}{b} < 12$, similar to *Subcase 3.1.*, $n = 7\times11\times17$. More over, $\frac{n}{a} = \frac{n}{b}\times\frac{b}{a} > 12$ implies that $a = 7 \times 11$. Then $b = j \times 11 \times 17$ or $b = j \times 7 \times 17$ for some j. By $s = 6$, $\frac{b}{a} \in [6, 7)$, we infer that $b = 4 \times 7 \times 17$, and $c = a + b - 1 = 552$, which contradicts to $\gcd(n, c) = 11 \times 17$.

Case 6. $s = 7, 8, 9$.

$r = 1$. We infer that $6 < \frac{2n}{c} \leq 7 < \frac{2n}{b} < 8$ and $7|n$. Then $9 < \frac{3n}{c} < 10 < \frac{3n}{b} \leq 11$, or $10 < \frac{3n}{c} \leq 11 < \frac{3n}{b} < 12$. Otherwise, $n = 5 \times 7 \times 11 < 1000$, contradiction.

Subcase 6.1. $9 < \frac{3n}{c} < 10 < \frac{3n}{b} \leq 11$. Then $\gcd(n, 13) = 1$, otherwise $n = 5 \times 7 \times 13 < 1000$. Hence we infer that $13 < \frac{4n}{c} \leq 14 < \frac{4n}{b} \leq \frac{44}{3}$, and $\frac{7n}{b} > 24 > \frac{13n}{2b}$, contradiction.

Subcase 6.2. $10 < \frac{3n}{c} \leq 11 < \frac{3n}{b} < 12$.

We have $\frac{50}{3} < \frac{5n}{c} < 14 < \frac{5n}{b} < 20$. If $\frac{5n}{c} < 17 < \frac{5n}{b}$, then $n = 7 \times 11 \times 17$. More over, $\frac{n}{a} = \frac{n}{b} \times \frac{b}{a} > 14$ and $\frac{19}{2} < 14$ implies that $a = 7 \times 11$. Then $b = j \times 11 \times 17(j < 4)$ or $b = j \times 7 \times 17(j < 6)$ for some j. We can't find suitable j for $s = 8, 9$. When $s = 7$, we have $b = 3\times11\times17$ or $b = 5\times7\times17$. If $b = 3\times11\times17$, $c = a+b-1 = 637 = 7\times91$, which contradicts to $\gcd(n, c) = 7 \times 17$. If $b = 5 \times 7 \times 17$, $c = a + b - 1 = 594 = 11 \times 54$, which contradicts to $\gcd(n, c) = 11 \times 17$.

If $\frac{5n}{c} < 18 < \frac{5n}{b}$, then $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 1$.

If $\frac{5n}{c} \leq 19 < \frac{5n}{b}$, then $n = 7 \times 11 \times 19$.

More over, $\frac{n}{a} = \frac{n}{b} \times \frac{b}{a} > 14$ and $\frac{19}{2} < 14$ implies that $a = 7 \times 11$. Then $b = j \times 11 \times 19(j < 4)$ or $b = j \times 7 \times 19(j < 6)$ for some j. We can't find suitable j for $s = 7, 9$. When $s = 8$, we have $b = 3 \times 11 \times 19$ or $b = 5 \times 7 \times 19$. If $b = 3 \times 11 \times 19$, $c = a + b - 1 = 703 = 19 \times 37$, which contradicts to $\gcd(n, c) = 7\times19$. If $b = 5\times7\times19$, $c = a+b-1 = 741 = 19\times39$, which contradicts to $\gcd(n, c) = 11 \times 19$.

□

Acknowledgements

The author is thankful to the referees for valuable suggestions and to prof. Yuanlin Li and prof. Jiangtao Peng for their useful discussion and valuable comments.

REFERENCES

[1] S.T. Chapman, M. Freeze, and W.W Smith, *Minimal zero sequences and the strong Davenport constant*, Discrete Math. 203(1999), 271-277.

[2] S.T. Chapman, and W.W Smith, *A characterization of minimal zero-sequences of index one in finite cyclic groups*, Integers 5(1)(2005), Paper A27, 5p.

[3] W. Gao , *Zero sums in finite cyclic groups*, Integers 0 (2000), Paper A14, 9p.

[4] W. Gao and A. Geroldinger, *On products of k atoms*, Monatsh. Math. 156 (2009), 141-157.

[5] W. Gao, Y. Li, J. Peng, P. Plyley and G. Wang *On the index of sequences over cyclic groups* (English), Acta Arith. 148, No. 2, (2011) 119-134 .

[6] A. Geroldinger, *On non-unique factorizations into irreducible elements. II*, Number Theory, Vol II Budapest 1987, Colloquia Mathematica Societatis Janos Bolyai, vol. 51, North Holland, 1990, 723-757.

[7] A. Geroldinger, *Additive group theory and non-unique factorizations*, Combinatorial Number Theory and Additive Group Theory (A. Geroldinger and I. Ruzsa, eds.), Advanced Courses in Mathematics CRM Barcelona, Birkhäuser, 2009, pp. 1-86.

[8] A. Geroldinger and F. Halter-Koch, *Non-Unique Factorizations*. Algebraic, Combinatorial and Analytic Theory, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 278, Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2006.

[9] D. Kleitman and P. Lemke, *An addition theorem on the integers modulo n*, J. Number Theory 31(1989), 335-345.

[10] Y. Li and J. Peng, *Minimal zero-sum sequences of length five over finite cyclic groups*, Ars Combinatoria, to appear.

[11] Y. Li and J. Peng, *Minimal zero-sum sequences of length four over finite cyclic groups II*, International Journal of Number Theory, to appear.

[12] Y. Li, C. Plyley, P. Yuan and X. Zeng, *Minimal zero sum sequences of length four over finite cyclic groups*, Journal of Number Theory. 130 (2010), 2033-2048.

[13] V. Ponomarenko, *Minimal zero sequences of finite cyclic groups*, Integers 4(2004), Paper A24, 6p.

[14] S. Savchev and F. Chen, *Long zero-free sequences in finite cyclic groups*, Discrete Math. 307(2007), 2671-2679.

[15] X. Xia and P. Yuan, *Indexes of insplitable minimal zero-sum sequences of length* $l(C_n) - 1$, Discrete Math. 310(2010), 1127-1133.

[16] P. Yuan, *On the index of minimal zero-sum sequences over finite cyclic groups*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A114(2007), 1545-1551.

[17] P. Yuan and X. Zeng, *Indexes of long zero-sum free sequences over cyclic groups*, Eur. J. Comb. 32(2011), 1213-1221.

[18] D. J. Grynkiewicz, *Structural Additive Theory*, Developments in Mathematics, to appear, Springer, 2013.